

General Pharmaceutical Council

Fitness to Practise Committee

Principal Hearing

Remote videolink hearing

13-16 October 2025

Registrant name:	Kelly Osamudiamen Osadolor
Registration number:	2075520
Part of the register:	Pharmacist
Type of Case:	Misconduct
Committee Members:	Neville Sorab (Chair) Jignesh Patel (Registrant member) Stephanie Hayle (Lay member)
Committee Secretary:	Chelsea Smith
Registrant:	Present and represented by Jon Hullis, VHS Fletchers
General Pharmaceutical Council:	Represented by Taz Aldeek, Case Presenter
Facts proved:	2.1, 2.2, 2.3, 2.4
Facts proved by admission:	1, 3
Facts not proved:	2.5, 4
Fitness to practise:	Not impaired
Outcome:	Warning

The Committee warns the Registrant as follows:

“The Committee has found that:

You, a Registered Pharmacist employed by the Swindon Pharmacy (“the Pharmacy”)

1. On the following dates, you were the Responsible Pharmacist at the Pharmacy:

1.1. 19 October 2022;

1.2. 3 March 2023;

1.3. 15 May 2023;

1.4. 2 June 2023;

1.5. 12 June 2023;

1.6. 26 June 2023.

2. On the six specified dates above in point 1, while you were not physically present at the Pharmacy:

2.1. The Pharmacy remained open despite not having a registered pharmacist on site;

2.2. Prescription medication was prepared and / or given out by unqualified staff;

2.3. Photo messages of prescriptions and / or medication were sent to you by Pharmacy staff using WhatsApp;

2.4. You replied with a thumbs up emoji or response to that effect to confirm that staff could supply the medication;

3. On 19 October 2022, when you were aware there was no responsible pharmacist present at the Pharmacy, you were absent from the Pharmacy for a period longer than two hours without arranging for the Pharmacy to close and / or cease dispensing medication.

The Committee has decided that your fitness to practise is not currently impaired, taking into account your remorse, remediation and full insight. However, the Committee has decided that there does need to be a public acknowledgement that your conduct was unacceptable. The Committee has therefore decided to issue a warning in this case.

The warning is as follows:

The Council's Standards require that pharmacy professionals behave in a professional manner. This includes refraining from leaving a pharmacy without a responsible pharmacist and remotely checking prescriptions via a phone.

You have:

- 1. Remotely checked prescriptions, on a frequent basis, via WhatsApp; and*
- 2. On 19 October 2022, when you were aware that there was no responsible pharmacist present at the Pharmacy, and you were absent from the Pharmacy for a period of approximately eight hours.*

Both acts were unacceptable, unprofessional and fell below the standards acceptable for a registered pharmacy professional. Your actions brought the profession of pharmacy into disrepute and breached fundamental tenets of the pharmacy profession.

You have remediated your misconduct and do not pose an ongoing risk to the public and are not impaired in the wider public interest of declaring and upholding standards and maintaining public confidence in the profession.

The Committee has considered that a warning is required to stand as a reminder to you of the importance of behaving in a professional manner at all times, in particular to refrain from leaving a pharmacy without a responsible pharmacist and remotely checking prescriptions via a phone. Such actions may place patients at risk and negatively affect the reputation of pharmacy professionals and must not be repeated.

This warning will be published on the register and will be available for 12 months."