

On **28 May 2026** the General Pharmaceutical Council's Investigating Committee considered an allegation in relation to **Mrs Edugwu Fatu Enenche; registration number: 2083434** and determined to issue the registrant concerned with a warning in relation to the conduct alleged.

The Committee concluded that the appropriate outcome in this case is a warning with the wording of:

Ms. Enenche was employed as a pharmacy manager at a community pharmacy from 01 December 2020 until being summarily dismissed in November 2021. At the time of the investigations, she had worked as a pharmacist for approximately 8 years. The concern arose from complaints from pharmacy colleagues' pharmacy about Ms. Enenche's conduct in relation to private prescriptions.

The private prescriptions involved were prescribed by either Ms. Enenche's husband a doctor, and friends who were also doctors. Ms. Enenche received prescriptions from the doctors via text messages for example and sometimes wrote out the prescriptions and allowed for the same to be dispensed. This was done without access to patient information, access to patients, or taking appropriate steps to ensure that the medicines dispensed were safe and appropriate for the patient. In some cases, the prescriptions were not legally valid in that they were missing either the signature or address of the prescriber and some instances involved prescriptions for medicines the stability of which could not be guaranteed over the period prescribed and dispensed for or where the dose exceeded the maximum stated in the BNF. Furthermore, the records entered in the pharmacy's private prescription book did not always accurately reflect key details such as the identity of the prescriber.

Ms. Enenche's actions have breached standard 1 GPhC standards for pharmacy professionals to provide person-centred care, standard 6 to behave in a professional manner, including maintaining appropriate professional and personal boundaries with

people they provide care to and with others, and standard 9 to demonstrate leadership.

In essence, with these prescribers and patients, Ms. Enenche acted as an intermediary between the Doctors who prescribed the medicines, and not as a pharmacist providing patient centred care. Although there is no discernible financial motivation in Ms. Enenche's actions, as a pharmacist with approximately 8 years' experience at the time, her actions have nonetheless posed a risk of harm to patients and had the potential to undermine public confidence in the profession. The Committee is however satisfied that Ms Enenche now understands her professional obligations and is unlikely to repeat her actions.

Ms Enenche is accordingly warned that she must, in future, ensure that any prescription she reviews is legally valid and is safe and appropriate for the patient before dispensing occurs, and she maintains accurate records of any private prescriptions dispensed and of any information material to the consideration of whether the medicines in question are safe and appropriate.

This warning will be published on the register and will be available for 12 months.